Effectiveness of Teaching Methods for Toothbrushing in Preschool Children
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Three different methods of instruction and motivation were used to assess the learning process and ability of preschool children in performing toothbrushing. Forty children from a private nursery of Brasília, DF, Brazil, were divided into 2 groups according to age (3-4 years old and 5-6 years old). The following methods of instruction and reinforcement were applied: I - audiovisual; II - child as a model; III - individual instruction. Professional prophylaxis was then performed and the children remained 48 hours without any kind of oral hygiene. Plaque disclosing and plaque index were carried out and recorded. The children subsequently brushed their teeth according to each method of instruction and a new plaque index was recorded. The data were analyzed statistically using the Student t-test and the comparison of two proportions. The results showed that the children of both groups reduced plaque index and that the individual instruction method was superior (p<0.05) to the others at all ages. Children older than 5 years of age were able to learn and accomplish toothbrushing better than younger children.
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INTRODUCTION

It is known that the three basic circles from Paul-Keys (1) display the basic etiological factors of dental caries. One of them is undoubtedly the microorganism, which accumulates and forms biofilm, known as dental plaque. Although there is no scientific based evidence correlating biofilm and dental caries, it is accepted that plaque removal is a very advisable procedure for oral health maintenance.

There is evidence that the mechanical control of plaque prevents gingivitis and reduces plaque (2,5). Thus, toothbrushing continues to be the most used and effective method for cleaning most tooth surfaces (6).

Toothbrushing, as all habits of hygiene, is acquired during the socialization process of the child (7). When this habit is taught in early childhood, it is naturally ingrained in the daily routine of the child, with only positive reinforcement needed later (8-9). Toothbrushing can be compared with other health-related habits that will persist throughout life without great changes (10), e.g. excessive use of sugar.

Nevertheless, it is generally known that toothbrushing by children under ten years of age is inefficient (11). This difficulty can be explained by the lack of motivation and poor manual dexterity normal to this age (12). Effective plaque removal instruction can be taught when the child is an active part of oral hygiene education (8,13). Instructions should be given according to the child’s degree of readiness for toothbrushing and should include systematic training and reinforcement. Although manual dexterity and ability are necessary, intensive individual training is essential (13,14). Children should be educated in oral self-care according to their status of psychological development (15).

Oral hygiene instructions through educational lectures result in highly significant improvements in oral health (16). However, there is evidence that the development of grammatical understanding in very young children continues for several years. This could explain the difficulty found in the training and practice of oral hygiene techniques in preschool children using
only verbal instructions (17). The use of audiovisual methods is also suggested for these children (18).

Thus, this study assessed the effectiveness of 3 teaching methods for toothbrushing in preschool children: audiovisual, child as a model and individual instruction.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present study was developed in a private nursery school in Brasília, DF, Brazil by only one dentist. After the parents or legal guardian of the child signed an informed consent, forty children were selected as follows: age between 3-6 years, completed primary dentition, dmfs equal to zero, and only slight to mild gingivitis. The children were divided into 2 groups according to age: group 1 (G1): 20 subjects – 10 children of 3 years of age and 10 children of 4 years of age; group 2 (G2): 20 subjects – 10 children of 5 years of age and 10 children of 6 years of age.

Three methods of oral hygiene instruction and reinforcement were applied separately for G1 and G2. Method I – audiovisual: models and slide projection with subjects and motivation appropriate for each age group. Method II – child as a model: the dentist demonstrated toothbrushing technique using a child as a model. Method III – individual instruction: each child received individual instruction.

Two toothbrushes and toothpaste of the same brand were given to all children that participated in this study. One of the toothbrushes remained with the dentist and the other one with the child. The toothbrushing technique applied for all teeth and surfaces included only back-and-forth movements. Immediately after the application of the Methods I, II, and III, the following procedures were performed: a) plaque disclosing and professional prophylaxis using a toothbrush and toothpaste in order to remove all plaque; b) the person responsible for the child was asked to not brush the child’s teeth for 48 h for plaque accumulation; c) after 48 h, each child brushed his or her teeth according to the instructions received before. Plaque disclosing was carried out before and after brushing for plaque index comparison. Scores were 0 for no plaque, 1 for thin plaque and 2 for thick plaque.

The data were statistically analyzed utilizing the Student t-test and the comparison of two proportions.

RESULTS

The total plaque index decreased in both groups after the application of the three methods of instruction and reinforcement. However, G2 had greater plaque reduction than G1 for all methods (p<0.05) (Figure 1).

Analyzing the instruction and reinforcement methods separately, when Method I was applied there was no statistical difference between G1 and G2 plaque index (p>0.05) (Figure 2). After Method II was applied, G2 showed a significantly greater (p<0.05) percentage of zero plaque (40.4%) compared to G1 (31.7%) (Figure 3). Individual instruction (Method III) showed the greatest percentage of plaque index scores equal to zero for G2 (45.8%) (Figure 4). On the other hand, the children of G1 maintained similar values of plaque index reduction to score zero for all three methods.

We conclude that the individual method showed the greatest difference between G1 and G2, followed by the audiovisual and the child as a model (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Mean of plaque index for G1 and G2 before and after the application of the three methods of oral hygiene and reinforcement.

Figure 2. Frequency of plaque index for G1 and G2 before and after the application of the audiovisual method (I).
methods reduced the plaque index; however, the G2 (5-6 years old) children had better performance than G1 (3-4 years old) children.

DISCUSSION

The results showed a significant relation between the methods of oral hygiene instruction and reinforcement and the plaque index. These findings confirm earlier studies (5,8) that suggest that instruction and supervision are important to establishing effective toothbrushing habits in children.

Williford et al. (16) reported that educational lectures result in highly significant improvements in oral health when applied in adolescents. However, this method is believed to be efficient only in children over five years of age. According to Simmons et al. (17), most children between 2 and 4 years of age are not able to understand the use of prepositions such as “on top of”, “inside”, “behind”, etc. Thus, the difficulty found in teaching toothbrushing skills to these children using just words. Therefore, other methods, such as audiovisual should be indicated for preschool children (18).

The data obtained in this study showed that, after the application of the three methods of oral hygiene instruction and reinforcement, the children of both groups reduced plaque scores. However, the children of G2 (between 5 and 6 years) reached significantly more plaque scores equal to zero than the children of G1 (3 and 4 years of age). Unkel et al. (11) suggest that chronological age is a reasonable predictor of toothbrushing ability and that manual toothbrushing skills are acquired after approximately four to five years. Ogasawara et al. (14) affirm that it is possible to give effective guidance in toothbrushing to preschool children as long as the instructions are adapted to their age.

In the present study, the performance of preschool children in brushing their teeth was improved by motivation obtained when the three methods of oral hygiene were applied. Daly et al. (3) and Candelária et al. (13) reported that motivation, as demonstrated in this study, is one of the most important factors in ensuring optimal plaque control. Stutcliffe et al. (9) concluded that oral hygiene instruction programs have potential value as a means of introducing young children to future dental procedures in as pleasant a way as possible.

We conclude that it is necessary to find which method of oral hygiene instruction is more adequate for each child considering age. The results showed that the most effective method of oral hygiene instruction and reinforcement was individual instruction independent of the age of the child. This information is useful because this method is both inexpensive and easily taught.

RESUMO


Com o objetivo de avaliar a capacidade de aprendizagem de crianças pré-escolares quanto às técnicas de escovação, foram utilizados três diferentes métodos de instrução e reforço, em uma creche de Brasília, DF. Quarenta crianças foram divididas por faixa etária em dois grupos de 3 e 4 anos de idade e 5 e 6 anos de idade, respectivamente. Foram aplicados os seguintes métodos de instrução e reforço: I – audiovisual, II – criança como modelo, III – instrução individual. Para a avaliação da eficácia dos

Figure 3. Frequency of plaque index for G1 and G2 before and after the application of the child as a model method (II).

Figure 4. Frequency of plaque index for G1 and G2 before and after the application of the individual instruction method (III).
métodos, após a aplicação de cada um deles foi realizada profilaxia profissional e aguardou-se 48 horas sem que qualquer procedimento de higienização fosse efetuado. Foram realizados evidenciação de placa e o registro do índice de placa. As crianças fizeram a escovação de acordo com o que aprenderam através do método de instrução aplicado anteriormente e feito novo registro do índice de placa. Os dados foram analisados estatisticamente e mostraram que após a aplicação de todos os métodos houve redução do índice de placa para os dois grupos. Quando os métodos foram comparados entre si, o método de instrução individual foi o que apresentou melhores resultados. Outra conclusão foi de que as crianças de 5 e 6 anos apresentaram maior capacidade de desempenho e aprendizagem que as crianças de 3 e 4 anos de idade.
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